



# Japan

David Roodman and Cindy Prieto


*The Commitment to Development Index (CDI) ranks 22 of the world's richest countries based on their dedication to policies that benefit poor nations. Looking beyond standard comparisons of foreign aid flows, the CDI measures national effort in seven policy areas that are important to developing countries: aid, trade, investment, migration, environment, security and technology. This report reviews Japan's performance on the 2008 CDI.*

## Japan's 2008 CDI Performance


- Overall rank 2008: 21
- Overall score 2008: 3.0
- Change since 2003: +0.8  
(using 2008 methodology)

*Japan ranks 21<sup>st</sup> overall in 2008. Japan's barriers to exports from developing countries are among the highest in the CDI (driven mainly by rice tariffs) and its foreign aid is one of the smallest as a share of income. Japan also has a poor environmental record from the perspective of poor countries and admits very few immigrants. Japan's strongest contributions to development come through government support for research and development and through policies that promote investment in poor countries.*

## Commitment to Development Index 2008



## Japan's CDI Performance, 2003–08



## Aid

Aid quality is just as important as aid quantity, so the CDI measures gross aid as a share of GDP adjusted for various quality factors: it subtracts debt service, penalizes “tied” aid that makes recipients spend aid only on donor goods and services, rewards aid to poor but relatively uncorrupt recipients, and penalizes overloading poor governments with many small projects.

■ **Score: 1.4**

■ **Rank: 21**

### Strengths

- Small share of tied or partially tied aid (7%; rank: 11)

### Weaknesses

- Very low net aid volume as a share of the economy (0.14%; rank: 20)
- Small amount of private charitable giving attributable to tax policy (rank as a share of GDP: 17)
- Allows project proliferation; small average project size (rank: 16)

## Trade

International trade has been a force for economic development for centuries. The CDI measures trade barriers in rich countries against exports from developing countries.

■ **Score: 2.0**

■ **Rank: 19**

### Strengths

- Low agricultural subsidies (equivalent to a tariff worth 3.4% of the value of imports; rank: 2)

### Weaknesses

- High tariffs on agricultural commodities (124.9% of the value of imports; rank: 21)

## Investment

Rich-country investment in poorer countries can transfer technologies, upgrade management and create jobs. The CDI includes a checklist of policies that support healthy investment in developing countries.

■ **Score: 4.2**

■ **Rank: 19**

### Strengths

- Provides insurance against political risk for both domestic and foreign firms
- Employs foreign tax credits to prevent double taxation of corporate profits earned abroad

### Weaknesses

- Negligent in identifying bribery and corrupt practices on the part of home country firms abroad
- Imposes some restrictions on pension fund investments in emerging markets
- Does not provide support for outflows of portfolio investment

## Migration

The movement of people from poor to rich countries provides unskilled immigrants with jobs, income and knowledge. This increases the flow of money sent home by migrants abroad and the transfer of skills when the migrants return.

■ **Score: 1.8**

■ **Rank: 21**

### Strengths

- Large share of foreign students from developing countries (79%; rank: 7)
- Tuition for foreign students the same as for nationals

### Weaknesses

- Decline during the 1990s in the number of unskilled immigrants from developing countries living in Japan (rank by share of population: 20)
- Small number of immigrants from developing countries entering Japan (rank by share of population: 20)
- Bears small share of the burden of refugees during humanitarian crises (rank: 21)

# Environment

Rich countries use a disproportionate amount of scarce resources, and poor countries are most vulnerable to global warming and ecological deterioration, so the CDI measures the impact of policies on the global climate, fisheries, and biodiversity.

■ **Score: 3.6**

■ **Rank: 20**

## Strengths

- Low greenhouse gas emissions rate per capita (9.7 tons of carbon dioxide equivalent; rank: 10)

## Weaknesses

- Greenhouse gas emissions grew almost as fast as GDP in 1996–2006 (average annual growth rate/GDP, -0.9%; rank: 15)
- Low gas taxes (\$0.50 per liter; rank: 18)
- High tropical wood imports (\$28.43 per person; rank: 22)

# Security

Since security is a prerequisite for development, the CDI rewards contributions to internationally sanctioned peacekeeping operations and forcible humanitarian interventions, rewards military protection of global sea lanes, and penalizes arms exports to poor and undemocratic governments.

■ **Score: 2.0**

■ **Rank: 21**

## Strengths

- No arms exports to poor and undemocratic governments (rank by share of GDP: 1)

## Weaknesses

- Small financial or personnel contributions to internationally sanctioned peacekeeping and humanitarian interventions over last decade (rank by share of GDP: 21)
- Provides little protection of global sea lanes that are important to international trade (rank by share of GDP: 16)

# Technology

Rich countries contribute to development through the creation and dissemination of new technologies. The CDI captures this by measuring government support for R&D and penalizing strong intellectual property rights regimes that limit the dissemination of new technologies to poor countries.

■ **Score: 6.0**

■ **Rank: 4**

## Strengths

- Large tax subsidy rate to businesses for R&D (rank: 9)
- No attempt to incorporate into bilateral free trade agreements “TRIPS-Plus” measures that would restrict the flow of innovations to developing countries

## Weaknesses

- Allows patents on plant and animal varieties
- Allows patents on software programs
- Imposes strict limitations on anti-circumvention technologies that can defeat encryption of copyrighted digital materials

## Background Papers and Contributors

See “The Commitment to Development Index: 2008 Edition” by David Roodman, available at [www.cgdev.org/cdi](http://www.cgdev.org/cdi). The website also has background papers for each policy area: David Roodman on foreign aid, William R. Cline on trade, Theodore H. Moran on investment, Elizabeth Grieco and Kimberly A. Hamilton on migration, Amy Cassara and Daniel Prager on environment, Michael E. O’Hanlon and Adriana Lins de Albuquerque on security, and Keith Maskus on technology.

## Commitment to Development Index 2008

Country	Rank	Aid	Trade	Investment	Migration	Environment	Security	Technology	Overall Score	Change since 2003
Netherlands	1	11.4	5.9	6.1	4.9	7.2	6.1	5.1	6.7	0.0
Sweden	2	13.0	5.6	5.2	7.8	5.4	4.3	4.6	6.6	+0.3
Norway	2	11.0	1.4	5.6	6.4	7.5	8.3	5.6	6.6	+0.3
Denmark	4	11.3	5.5	4.8	5.5	6.5	6.7	5.2	6.5	-0.6
Ireland	5	9.2	5.4	2.8	7.7	7.9	5.9	3.4	6.0	+0.7
United Kingdom	6	6.2	5.6	6.3	3.1	7.5	6.0	4.4	5.6	+0.1
New Zealand	7	3.2	7.1	3.9	6.1	6.8	7.7	3.8	5.5	-0.4
Finland	7	5.1	5.7	4.9	3.2	8.2	6.2	5.3	5.5	+0.4
Australia	7	3.1	6.9	6.1	4.0	5.6	7.5	5.2	5.5	-0.2
Austria	7	2.7	5.5	3.0	11.6	6.2	4.2	5.0	5.5	0.0
Canada	11	3.8	6.5	6.3	5.5	4.7	5.4	5.9	5.4	+0.2
Spain	12	3.3	5.6	5.3	7.3	4.3	3.3	6.6	5.1	+0.7
Germany	12	2.9	5.5	6.3	6.2	6.4	4.0	4.3	5.1	0.0
Belgium	14	5.1	5.5	5.2	3.6	6.9	3.7	4.5	4.9	+0.3
Portugal	14	2.6	5.6	5.0	3.9	5.8	6.2	4.9	4.9	+0.4
France	16	4.0	5.6	5.0	3.0	6.6	2.9	6.2	4.7	0.0
United States	17	1.9	6.8	5.3	6.0	2.5	3.6	5.0	4.5	+0.3
Switzerland	18	4.5	1.0	4.8	6.6	4.6	3.6	4.8	4.3	-0.4
Greece	19	2.3	5.5	4.4	2.3	6.3	5.7	3.1	4.2	+0.5
Italy	20	1.5	5.7	4.8	2.7	4.7	4.9	4.0	4.1	0.0
Japan	21	1.4	2.0	4.2	1.8	3.6	2.0	6.0	3.0	+0.8
South Korea	22	0.6	0.0	4.6	0.9	2.3	1.7	6.8	2.4	n/a

This table ranks the 22 countries in the CDI, showing their scores in seven policy areas. A country's overall score is the average of its seven policy scores. The final column shows the change in each country's overall score since the CDI began in 2003, using 2008 methodology.

The CGD website ([www.cgdev.org/cdi](http://www.cgdev.org/cdi)) has reports on each of the 22 countries in the CDI, as well as graphs, maps, spreadsheets and background papers.

The Commitment to Development Index is designed by the Center for Global Development, an independent think tank that works to reduce global poverty and inequality by encouraging policy change in the United States and other rich countries through rigorous research and active engagement with the policy community.

David Roodman, the architect of the Commitment to Development Index, is a Research Fellow at the Center for Global Development.