Financial Requirements for Global Investments in Priority Health Interventions for Adolescent Girls

Estimated Costs of Scaling-Up Priority Interventions for Adolescent Girls' Health and

Policy Recommendations for Improved Tracking of Global Health Expenditures on Adolescent Girls

Prepared by Jessica Ebbeler

For the Center for Global Development

August 17, 2009

This report was commissioned by the Center for Global Development for the purpose of informing the report, Start with a Girl: A New Agenda for Global Health, part of the Girls Count series, with funding from the Nike Foundation and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Table of Contents

BACKGROUND	3
METHODOLOGY	5
PRIORITY INTERVENION COST ESTIMATES	8
Estimated Cost of Full Intervention Package	8
Promoting and expanding coverage of focused interventions with proven or promising	
efficacy	10
Youth-Friendly Health Services	10
Iron Supplementation	11
HPV Vaccination	12
Reducing Harmful Traditional Practices	13
Engaging Men and Boys as Partners	14
Obesity Reduction	15
Edutainment programs	16
Tobacco Use Reduction	17
Creating particular types of social resources for girls and their families	18
Safe Spaces Programs	18
Generating greater health benefits from investments in education and other sectors	19
Comprehensive Sexuality Education	19
TRACKING GLOBAL AID FLOWS TO ADOLESCENT GIRLS	20
REFERENCES	26
Appendix A. Coverage Targets	28
Appendix B. Detailed Cost Tables	36

BACKGROUND

Rough cost estimates are derived for the required investments to protect and promote adolescent girls' health yearly for the five year period 2010-2015. The priority interventions comprise an essential package of interventions, as described in the report, *Start With A Girl: A New Agenda for Global Health*; some of these are needed by all girls, while others are targeted to those who are poor or are at special risk of health problems such as anemia, while still others are targeted at boys and men or general populations with the intent of benefiting girls. This report estimates costs to implement ten priority interventions in low and low-middle income countries for improving the health of adolescent girls (ages 10-19). These interventions include:

Promoting and expanding coverage of focused interventions with proven or promising efficacy

- ➤ Youth-friendly health services: Outreach to adolescents, training of health workers, and use of peer workers to increase access of adolescents to sexual and reproductive health information and counseling, family planning and contraceptive provision, treatment for sexually transmitted infections, and testing and counseling for HIV to benefit all adolescent girls ages 10-19 living below \$2 per day in low and low-middle income countries.
- ➤ Iron supplementation: Provide daily iron supplements to girls ages 10-19 at risk for anemia in low and low-middle income countries.
- ➤ **HPV vaccine**: Vaccinate all 11 year old girls in each year in low and low-middle income countries.

Stimulating changes in social norms

- Reducing harmful traditional practices: Mobilize communities to reduce FGC and child marriage through education and public declarations in countries with high prevalence of FGC and child marriage to benefit girls ages 10-12 at risk for FGC and/or girls ages 10-19 at risk for early child marriage.
- > Engaging boys and men as partners: Engage the poorest young males ages 15-24 living below \$2 per day in low and low-middle income countries in reducing gender inequalities in the areas of gender-based violence; sexual and reproductive health; HIV prevention and AIDS treatment, care and support; fatherhood; maternal, newborn and child health; and gender socialization.
- ➤ **Obesity reduction**: Implement national physical activity and nutrition campaign in low and low-middle income countries with populations at high risk for obesity to benefit girls ages 10-19.
- ➤ Edutainment programs: Deliver mass media edutainment covering safe sex, gender-based violence, unwanted pregnancy, etc. via Television and/or radio plus supplementary print materials to all adolescent boys and girls ages 10-19 in low and low-middle income countries.
- ➤ **Tobacco use reduction**: Implement national policy actions including taxation of tobacco products, policies for smoke-free environments, advertising bans, and information campaigns in low and low-middle income countries where there is a greater than 25% prevalence of adult or youth smoking or increased prevalence in female youth tobacco use to benefit all boys and girls ages 10-19.

<u>Creating particular types of social resources for girls and their families</u>

➤ Safe spaces: Create safe spaces for out-of-school girls ages 10-19 to build friendship networks, health knowledge, confidence-building, and expand life choices.

Generating greater health benefits from investments in education and other sectors

➤ Comprehensive sexuality education: Provide condom and sex negotiation skills to all in-school adolescent girls ages 10-19 through skills-based education programs in low and low-middle income countries.

The report also recommends ways to track donor aid flows to programs intended to directly and indirectly benefit the health of adolescent girls. Current innovations in global tracking of donor expenditures provide a timely opportunity to ensure data collection and analysis include spending by beneficiary sex and age to capture aid flows to adolescent girls. Innovations in tracking health expenditures at national government levels also provide a promising opportunity to consistently collect and identify health spending on specific beneficiary populations within countries by governments. Current inconsistencies in the way funding data is reported and collected at national and global levels by donors, governments, and civil society organizations present challenges in accurately estimating not only the flow of resources to adolescent girls, but also in identifying the efficient use of resources and gaps in health spending.

METHODOLOGY

Unit costs¹ are estimated for each priority intervention based on documented program experience², using the best available information on costs. Applying strong assumptions, unit costs are assumed to be the same across regions, and between urban and rural settings. Unit costs for each intervention are multiplied by an estimate of the number of girls to be covered; for some interventions this is all girls, and for others it is a subset of the adolescent girl population, while in other interventions the target population is males or the general population, in which case both unit costs per participant and per girl are calculated. This represents a measure of "need" rather than effective demand, which is likely to be considerably smaller. Given the limitations of the data and methods³, the rough cost estimates are solely for the purpose of estimating "order of magnitude" financial requirements, and do not evaluate cost-effectiveness of interventions or existing funding gaps. All costs are provided in constant US 2009 dollars.

Unit cost calculations may include some or all of a variety of costs, including capital and recurrent, administrative and personnel, monitoring and evaluation, training, and service delivery, unless otherwise noted. In some cases where unit costs are based on pilot programs that have high capital costs, costs will most likely be overestimated as there will presumably be a marginal decrease in per unit costs as a pilot program is refined and scaled up. For each intervention, an indication is included to state whether the unit costs include capital and recurrent costs, and/or administrative and monitoring and evaluation costs.

In cases where more than one program experience is available, a range of unit costs and an average unit cost is presented, specifying the range of interventions included. In the case of tobacco reduction, where a primary intervention is the implementation of an excise tax on tobacco products which is effectively a revenue-generating intervention, it is expected that the cost of the interventions will be exceeded by the revenues generated from the intervention itself. For this reason, the cost needed for investing in tobacco reduction is included in this study as a priority intervention, but is not included in the overall resources required for the entire priority intervention package.

Only low and low-middle income countries (determined by the Atlas method⁴) are included in the cost estimates (See Table 1 for low and low-middle income countries by World Health Organization (WHO) region included in cost estimates). Populations and cost estimates for China and India are excluded from regional population and cost estimates and reported separately due to the population size.

All costs of interventions are estimated on an annual basis over five years (2010-2015). Each intervention assumes a discrete treatment period for the targeted population. In some cases, the appropriate treatment represents a one-time cost for each girl (as in the case of delivering an HPV vaccine); in those cases, an average annual cost will be estimated by dividing the total program cost by the number of program years. In other cases the appropriate treatment per girl is longer than one year

1

¹ Unit costs for the purposes of this report is defined as the cost per girl or per participant to implement an intervention.

² HPV vaccination is the only intervention included in this priority intervention package for girls that is not based on program experience due to lack of data, but rather based on hypothetical costing scenarios.

³ Limitations include lack of range of unit costs that vary across region, urban and rural settings, program intervention type, capital and recurrent costs, etc.

⁴ World Bank Atlas method

 $⁽http://web.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/DATASTATISTICS/0,, contentMDK: 20452009 ^c is CURL: Y^menuPK: 64133156 ^cpiPK: 64133150 ^cpiPK: 64133175 ^cthe SitePK: 239419, 00. html)$

(as in the case with iron supplementation which will be provided to each girl for a total of five years), in which case the total average annual program cost will reflect the number of treatment years per girl.

The number of adolescent girls (ages 10-19) to be covered on average annually is estimated based on most recent population projections available from the United Nations Population Statistics Database (2008)⁵ for the years 2010-2015, using a medium fertility variant. In some cases it is appropriate to target the intervention to boys or specific ages within this range; this is indicated when it occurs – for example in providing gender competency training to young males age 15-24 to improve the context for better sexual and reproductive health of adolescent girls. The estimated population of girls living under two dollars per day is estimated by multiplying the average number of adolescent girls in each country (2010-2015) by the current percent of the national population living below two dollars a day as estimated by the most recent United Nations Human Development Index (2008).⁶ Based on this calculation, an average of 149 million girls annually between the years 2010-2015 will be living on less than \$2 per day in low and low-middle income countries, with an additional 128.5 million in China and India (Table A3).

All cost estimates are provided for WHO-defined regions (Africa, Americas, Eastern Mediterranean, Europe, South-East Asia, and the Western Pacific). In some cases, however, only a subset of the regions is included in cost estimates. For example, for female genital cutting, only Africa and the Eastern Mediterranean regions include high-prevalence countries. For this type of intervention, high prevalence countries are identified and costs are aggregated into regional costs for the relevant regions included in the intervention.

In some cases, interventions such as tobacco use reduction and female genital cutting reduction, the target group goes far beyond the adolescent girls who may benefit from changes in social norms and benefits a larger population of adults and children of both sexes. For these interventions, per capita costs are used to estimate annual program costs for community-wide or national implementation. Then, to present a total per girl cost for all priority interventions the total estimated program cost is divided by the estimated number of the adolescent girls. This permits us to arrive at a consistent per girl cost to implement all priority interventions, with the assumption that this will overestimate the per girl cost as not all girls will need to receive each intervention.

Lastly, total cost estimates for some interventions assume that the marginal cost (the cost of serving one additional girl) remain constant regardless of the numbers of girls reached, while others assume that marginal costs increase beyond a set threshold. Cost estimates assume a constant marginal cost for interventions implemented nationally or community-wide, such as the case with tobacco use reduction, and for interventions in which unit costs are based on programs that are designed to specifically reach comparatively marginalized, or hard-to-reach girls (e.g. out-of-school girls), such as the case with safe spaces programs. In other cases, such as providing HPV vaccinations to all 11 year old girls or iron supplements to all girls 10-19 at risk for anemia, a constant marginal cost is applied to the first 80 percent of girls reached, and a 20 percent higher marginal cost is assumed for extension to the most difficult-to-reach 20 percent of the population.

⁵ United Nations World Population Prospects: The 2008 Revision Population Database (http://esa.un.org/unpp/)

⁶ United Nations Human Development Indices 2008.

Table 1. Low and Low-Middle Income Countries Included in Regional Cost Estimates

			frica		
Angola	Chad*	Gambia*	Madagascar*	Niger*	Uganda*
Benin*	Comoros*	Ghana*	Malawi*	Nigeria	Zambia*
Burkina Faso*	Congo, Dem.*	Guinea	Mali*	Rwanda*	Zimbabwe*
				São Tomé and	
Burundi*	Congo, Rep.	Guinea-Bissau*	Mauritania*	Principe	
Cameroon	Côte d'Ivoire	Kenya*	Mozambique*	Senegal*	
Cape Verde	Eritrea*	Lesotho	Sierra Leone*	Tanzania*	
CAR*	Ethiopia*	Liberia*	Swaziland	Togo*	
		Am	ericas		
Bolivia	El Salvador	Guyana	Honduras	Paraguay	
Ecuador	Guatemala	Haiti*	Nicaragua		
		Eastern M	editerranean		
Afghanistan*	Iran, Islamic Rep.	Morocco	Sudan	Yemen, Rep.*	
			Syrian Arab		
Djibouti	Iraq	Pakistan	Republic		
Egypt, Arab Rep.	Jordan	Somalia*	Tunisia		
		Eu	rope		_
		Kyrgyz			
Albania	Azerbaijan	Republic*	Tajikistan*	Ukraine	
Armenia	Georgia	Moldova	Turkmenistan	Uzbekistan*	
		South-	East Asia		
Bangladesh*	India	Maldives*	Nepal*	Thailand	
Bhutan	Indonesia	Myanmar	Sri Lanka	Timor-Leste	
		Weste	rn Pacific		
	Korea, Dem	Micronesia, Fed.	Papua New		
Cambodia*	Rep.*	Sts.	Guinea	Samoa	Tonga
China	Lao PDR*	Mongolia	Philippines	Solomon Islands	Vanuatu
					Vietnam*

Low and low-middle income countries are selected based on the Atlas method used by the World Bank, with the exception of Brazil which is included but classified as a high-middle income country. *Indicates low income countries.

PRIORITY INTERVENION COST ESTIMATES

Estimated Cost of Full Intervention Package

Table 2 (below) summarizes the total financial requirements for a complete package of all nine priority interventions in each region in low and low-middle income countries⁷. For each intervention the cost per girl annually is provided, as well as the estimated target coverage during (2010-2015). Total annual global costs exclude China and India, which are reported separately due to the high populations. All per unit costs are reported as the average annual cost per girl targeted, rather than the cost per participant.

The estimated cost per girl to receive all nine priority interventions is \$359.31. As each intervention has different coverage targets, it is unlikely that all girls will be targeted to receive all nine interventions, thus this estimated cost per girl represents the maximum cost per girl that would receive all interventions. It is estimated that an average of \$6.9 billion annually is required to invest in the priority intervention package in all low and low-middle income countries. It is estimated that of the \$6.9 billion required for all low and low-middle income countries excluding China and India, an average of \$3.6 billion annually is required to invest in the priority interventions in low income countries alone. An additional \$6.2 billion would be required to invest in the priority intervention package in China and India for a total global sum of \$13.1 billion required for the package in all low and low-middle income countries, including China and India.

⁷ The first row represents the cost for both low and low-middle income countries and the second row represents the cost for only low income countries.

Table 2. Estimated Average Annual Financial Requirements (millions US\$2009) of a Comprehensive Priority Intervention Package for Adolescent Girls (2010-2015)

Intervention	Coverage	Countries Included	Number Girls Covered	Annual Cost Per Girl	Africa	Americas	Eastern Med	Europe	South- East Asia	Western Pacific	Global	India and China
Youth-friendly	Girls (10-19)	LMI + LI	149,162		133	14	40	6	52	19	264	
health services	living under \$2 per day	LI Only	83,612	\$8.50	93	1	7	5	30	11	148	227
Iron	Girls (10-19) at risk of	LMI + LI	131,437	\$2.00	122	23	54	8	48	19	273	178
supplementation	at risk of anemia	LI Only	63,787	\$2.00	82	2	10	4	26	9	133	1/8
HPV vaccination	Girls (Age	LMI + LI	143,124	\$17.50*	208	115	158	17	99	62	660	591
in v vaccination	11)	LI Only	37,414	Ş17.50	135	2	16	7	45	21	227	331
Reducing	Girls (10-12) at risk of	LMI + LI	70,880		603	26	137	0	273	0	1,039	
harmful traditional practices	FGC/ (10-19) for child marriage	LI Only	43,876	\$80.85	420	6	12	0	46	0	483	897
Male	Males (15- 24) living	LMI + LI	149,162		1,596	184	526	92	711	270	3,379	
Engagement	under \$2 per day	LI Only	83,612	\$113.25	1,101	19	90	77	413	154	1,854	3,282
Obesity	Girls (10-19) in high	LMI + LI	115,451		2	3	2	1	4	1	13	
reduction	prevalence countries	LI Only	26,037	\$0.11	1	0	0.3	0.4	0.5	0	2	27
Edutainment	Girls and boys (10-19)	LMI + LI	149,162		24	8	14	2	12	6	67	
programs	living under \$2 per day	LI Only	48,700	\$0.57	17	0.3	2	1	6	3	29	53
Safa anasas	30% of girls	LMI + LI	42,379	\$130.51	557	26	267	6	193	56	1,106	800
Safe spaces	(10-19) out of school	LI Only	23,993	\$130.51	388	1	58	2	132	45	626	800
Comprehensive Sexuality	Girls (10-19)	LMI + LI	136,836	\$6.02	37	38	31	11	33	20	171	134
Education	in school	LI Only	40,238	30.02	25	1	1	5	10	8	50	154
Total Annual Intervention		LMI + LI		\$359.31	3,281	436	1,231	144	1,427	453	6,972	6,188
Package		LI Only		\$359.31	2,261	33	197	103	709	251	3,553	0,188

Costs for interventions in both low and low-middle income countries (LMI+LI) are presented on the first row for each intervention and costs for the intervention in low income countries only (LI only) are presented in the second row. Average annual program costs for China and India are presented separately and not included in the total global estimates.

^{*}Unlike the other costs in this table that are based on program example, this price per girl is based on hypothetical assumptions of what the cost per girl may be only in countries eligible for internationally subsidized vaccine prices, while \$35 per vaccinated girl is assumed hypothetically for countries that are not eligible for subsidized prices.

^{**}A comprehensive smoking reduction program will include excise tax on tobacco products at 600% of supply price.

Promoting and expanding coverage of focused interventions with proven or promising efficacy

Youth-Friendly Health Services

Intervention Description: Outreach to adolescents, training of health workers, and use of peer workers to increase access of adolescents to sexual and reproductive health information and counseling, family planning and contraceptive provision, treatment for sexually transmitted infections, and testing and counseling for HIV⁸.

Average annual unit cost: \$8.5 for the estimated additional cost needed to increase access of services to adolescents (difference in providing services to youth and non-youth), not including actual services.

Target population: All 149 million of 10- to 19-year-old girls living below \$2 per day (Table A3).

Length of treatment: Assumes that each girl takes advantage of all services once.

Treatment of marginal costs: Increasing marginal costs of 20% for the last 20% of girls reached.

Special data limitations: Limited cost data that assumes the difference between the cost of providing services to youth and adults accurately captures cost of making services youth-friendly. The unit costs exclude costs for monitoring and evaluation.

Table 3. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Provide Youth Friendly Health Services to Adolescent Girls (10-19) Living Below \$2 Per Day						
		ow-Middle Countries		ne Countries Only		
Region	Average Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009)	Average Girls Covered Per Annum (thousands)	Average Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009)	Average Girls Covered Per Annum (thousands)		
Africa	133	15,048	93	10,506		
Americas	14	1,533	1	168		
Eastern Mediterranean	40	4,471	7	824		
Europe	6	704	5	592		
South-East Asia	52	5,886	30	3,439		
Western Pacific	19	2,190	11	1,194		
Global Per Annum	264	29,832	148	16,722		
Global Total 2010- 2015	1,319	149,162	739	83,612		
China and India	227	128,461				

_

⁸ Stenberg, Karin (2008). Measuring costs related to the provision of health services for young people (Power Point presentation). World Health Organization Department of Child and Adolescent Health and Development. February 2008.

Iron Supplementation

Intervention description: Delivery of iron supplements to girls through ante-natal care or community nutrition programs.

Average annual unit cost: \$2.00 per girl⁹ including cost of supplement and delivery of supplement, assuming delivery through utilization of existing programs and facilities.

Length of treatment per girl: Each girl receives one year of supplementation.

Target population: All of the estimated 131 million of 10- to 19-year-old girls at risk for anemia in low and low-middle income countries, based on the current percentage of anemia in pregnant women age 15-49 in each country (Table A5)¹⁰.

Treatment of marginal costs: Increasing by 20% to reach last 20% of population.

Special data limitations: Limited and outdated (1992) program data and program content detail.

Table 4. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Provide Iron Supplementation to Adolescent Girls (Age 10-19) At Risk of Anemia						
		ow-Middle Countries	Low Income Countries			
Region	Average Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009)	Average Girls Covered Per Annum (thousands)	Average Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009)	Average Girls Covered Per Annum (thousands)		
Africa	122	58,561	82	39,347		
Americas	23	10,846	1.5	736		
Eastern Mediterranean	54	26,118	10	4,770		
Europe	8	3,667	4	2,139		
South-East Asia	48	23,198	26	12,610		
Western Pacific	19	9,047	9	4,185		
Global Per Annum	273	131,437	133	63,787		
Global Total 2010-2015	1,367	131,437	663	63,787		
China and India	178	142,867				

¹⁰ De Benoist, et al (2008). Worldwide prevalence of anaemia 1993-2005: WHO Global Database on Anaemia. World Health Organization.

⁹ World Bank (2009). Costing the Scale-up of Nutrition Programming. March 26, 2009 draft version.

HPV Vaccination

Intervention Description: Providing three doses of HPV vaccination to targeted girls.

Average annual unit cost: Assumed \$17.50 per girl in countries eligible for internationally subsidized prices (Table A11). This estimate is an average of hypothetical vaccination costs, including delivery and program costs for three cost scenarios in a limited number of low income countries. For low middle income countries that are not eligible for the subsidized vaccine price, an assumed unit cost of \$35.00 was used (Table B1).

Target population: All of the estimated 143 million eleven year old girls in low and low-middle income countries (28.6 million eleven year olds each year).

Length of treatment: One series of three vaccinations per girl.

Treatment of marginal costs: Increasing by 20% to reach last 20% of population.

Special data limitations: Unit costs are not based on program experience, and thus are based solely on an average of three hypothetical cost scenarios in a limited number of low income countries.¹² While the HPV vaccine price for a few middle income countries has already come down by more than 60 percent, a public sector price for low and lower middle income countries has not yet been negotiated.

Table 5. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Vaccinate Every 11 Year Old Girl Each Year Against HPV						
		Low-Middle Countries	Low Incor	ne Countries		
Region	Average Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009) Average Girls Covered Per Annum (thousands)		Average Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009)	Average Girls Covered Per Annum (thousands)		
Africa	208	10,783	135	4,444		
Americas	115	3,370	2	70		
Eastern Mediterranean	158	6,145	16	536		
Europe	17	849	7	246		
South-East Asia	99	5,186	45	1,479		
Western Pacific	62	2,291	21	707		
Global Per Annum	660	28,625	227	7,483		
Global Total 2010-2015	3,299	143,124	1,135	37,414		
China and India	591	20,540				

 $^{^{\}rm 11}$ Personal communication with Carol Levin and Vivien Tsu of PATH. August 2009.

¹² IAVI, PATH. HPV Vaccine Adoption in Developing Countries: Cost and Financing Issues. New York: IAVI; Seattle, WA: PATH; 2007. Available at http://www.iavi.org/viewfile.cfm?fid=47496

Stimulating changes in social norms

Reducing Harmful Traditional Practices

Intervention Description: Support to communities to learn about human rights and share knowledge they gain with their neighbors, friends, and family members to make informed human-rights based decisions about abandoning harmful traditional practices¹³.

Average annual unit cost: \$216.67 (range of \$166.67 to \$266.67) per female and male participant in the community program, and an average cost of \$80.85 (range of \$62.19 to \$99.50) per adolescent girl at risk of FGC and/or child marriage targeted for coverage.

Target population: Girls (10-12) at risk for FGC or (10-19) for early child marriage in countries with high prevalence of either (71 million) where more than 20 percent of females (age 15-49) have been cut (Table A9) and/or countries where more than 30 percent were married before the age of 18 (Table A8)¹⁴.

Length of treatment: Three years per male and female participant.

Treatment of marginal costs: Constant marginal costs.

Special data limitations: Limited program experience and range of types of costs for different programs.

Table 6. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Implement Community Awareness Programs to Reduce Harmful Traditional Practices in Countries with High FGC and Child Marriage Prevalence						
		Low-Middle Countries	Low Incom	ne Countries		
Danier	Average	Girls Covered	Average	Girls Covered		
Region	Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009)	Community Members Per Annum (thousands)	Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009)	Community Members Per Annum (thousands)		
Africa	603	7,454	420	6,577		
711164	003	2,781	420	1,937		
Americas	26	323	6	70		
7 meneus	20	121	Ů	26		
Eastern	137	3,578	12	789		
Mediterranean	157	633	12	54		
South-East Asia	273	2,821	46	2,821		
South East Asia	2/3	14,107	40	212		
Global Per	1,039	14,176	483	12,274		
Annum	1,055	4,796	403	2,230		
Global Total	F 400	70,880	2.446	61,370		
2010-2015	5,196	23,980	2,416	11,150		
1.4.	007	11,098				
India	897	4,141				

¹³ Tostan CEP Program

¹⁴ UNICEF State of the World's Children (2009)

Engaging Men and Boys as Partners

Intervention Description: Interactive lifestyle social marketing campaigns to promote condom use and use of gender-equitable messages.

Average annual unit cost: \$115.78 per male participant (range of \$84.24 to \$147.32)¹⁵ and \$108 per adolescent girl to benefit from male participation.

Target population: All males age 15-24 living below \$2/day in low and low-middle income countries (an estimated 140 million) to benefit the population of girls age 10-19 living below \$2/day (an estimated 149 million).

Length of treatment: Each male will participate for six months.

Treatment of marginal costs: Increasing marginal costs of 20% for the last 20% of boys reached.

Special data limitations: Limited program experience and cost data. This program addresses condom use and sexual and reproductive information, but does not address other issues such as gender and partner violence, etc.

Table 7. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Engage Males (Age 15-24) Living Under \$2 Per Day as Partners in Sexual and Reproductive Health						
		Low-Middle Countries	Low Incon	ne Countries		
Region	Average Per Annum	Girls Covered	Average Per Annum	Girls Covered		
	Cost (millions) US\$2009)	Male Participants (thousands)	Cost (millions) US\$2009)	Male Participants (thousands)		
Africa	1,596	15,048	1,101	10,506		
711100	1,550	13,251	1,101	9,145		
Americas	184	1,533	19	168		
7	10.	1,526	13	162		
Eastern	526	4,471	90	824		
Mediterranean		4,370		748		
Europe	92	704	77	592		
-3		766		638		
South-East	711	5,886	413	3,439		
Asia		5,908		3,430		
Western	270	2,190	154	1,194		
Pacific		2,238		1,276		
Global Per	3,379	29,832	1,854	16,722		
Annum		28,059		15,399		
Global Total	16,893	149,162	9,271	83,612		
2010-2015		140,293	J, Z, T	76,993		
China and	3,282	25,692				
India	-,=02	28,350				

¹⁵ Program H in Brazil

Obesity Reduction

Intervention Description: Intervention package includes: (1) media events for information dissemination, (2) actions carried out with partner institutions such as informational campaigns, and (3) academic and NGO partners to conduct outreach and research. ¹⁶

Average annual unit cost: \$0.01 per capita annually for a nation-wide awareness program for physical activity, or \$0.11 per girl to include administration, training, and monitoring and evaluation costs.

Target population: Adolescent girls (10-19) covered within population-wide targeting in countries with high obesity prevalence (116 million girls) where male or female adult obesity is over 20 percent or where over 5 percent of children under five or adolescents (age 13-15) are overweight (Table A10).

Length of treatment: Each year all targeted girls will be covered.

Treatment of marginal costs: Constant marginal costs.

Special data limitations: Limited program experience and cost data. Intervention focuses on nation-wide awareness rather than specific nutrition and physical-activity based programs for healthy weight maintenance or reduction due to lack of data.

Table 8. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Implement National Obesity Reduction Program in High Prevalence Countries						
		ow-Middle Countries	Low Incom	e Countries		
Region	Average Per	Girls Covered	Average Per	Girls Covered		
	Annum Cost (millions) US\$2009)	National Population Per Annum (thousands)	Annum Cost (millions) US\$2009)	National Population Per Annum (thousands)		
Africa	2	22,507	1	14,045		
Airica		180,129	1	96,895		
Americas	3	23,264	0	0		
7111011005		269,962	Ü	0		
Eastern	2	19,605	0.3	3,213		
Mediterranean		197,721	0.0	27,819		
Europe	1	8,219	0.4	4,318		
		107,924	0	43,094		
South-East Asia	4	31,593	1	4,461		
		389,769	_	53,087		
Western	1	10,263	0	0		
Pacific		105,151		0		
Global Per	13	115,451	2	26,037		
Annum		1,250,656	_	220,895		
Global Total	62	115,451	11	26,037		
2010-2015	V2	1,250,656		220,895		
China and	27	210,455				
India	-/	2,690,190				

¹⁶ Brazil Agita program

Edutainment programs

Intervention Description: Cost for producing television, radio, and print media to profile positive role models of women, men, and couples overcoming gender-related challenges including social roles, partner violence, HIV/AIDS, family planning, gender roles, cross generational sex, and sexuality.

Average annual unit cost: \$0.58 per boy and girl reached,¹⁷ including all administrative costs and costs to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of the program, media production, youth advisory meetings, and workshops.

Target population: An estimated 244 million adolescent boys and girls (10-19).

Length of treatment: Each year for five program years all targeted girls and boys will be covered.

Treatment of marginal costs: Increasing marginal costs of 20% for the last 20% of adolescents reached.

Special data limitations: Limited program and cost data.

Table 9. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Provide Edutainment Programs to Adolescent Girls and Boys (Age 10-19)						
		ow-Middle Countries		ne Countries nly		
Region	Average Per Annum	Girls Only Covered	Average Per Annum	Girls Only Covered		
	Cost (millions) US\$2009)	Girls and Boys Covered (thousands)	Cost (millions) US\$2009)	Girls and Boys Covered (thousands)		
Africa	24	20,220	17	13,859		
Airica	24	40,733	17	27,861		
Americas	8	6,715	0.3	232		
7 illieneus		13,656		469		
Eastern	14	4,861	2	690		
Mediterranean		24,303		3,452		
Europe	2	1,872	1	351		
		3,823		1,756		
South-East	12	10,277	6	1,997		
Asia		20,925		9,986		
Western	6	1,927	3	2,499		
Pacific		9,637		5,175		
Global Per	67	55,620	29	24,043		
Annum		113,078		48,700		
Global Total	335	278,099	147	120,215		
2010-2015		565,392		243,498		
China and	53	42,091				
India		17,902				

 $^{^{17}}$ HEART (Helping Each Other Out) Zambia run by JHUCCP and local partners

Tobacco Use Reduction

Intervention Description: 1) Excise tax on tobacco products at 600% of supply price; 2) clean indoor air law enforcement; 3) comprehensive ban on tobacco advertising; and 4) information dissemination and mass media. The cost of this component is not included as part of the full package intervention as the revenue received from taxation of tobacco products will exceed the cost to implement and will cover the total intervention costs.

Average annual unit cost: Average of \$5.72 per capita and \$2.43 per girl and boy. All costs include costs of administration, training, and monitoring and evaluation. The revenue received from taxation of tobacco products will exceed the cost to implement and will cover the total intervention costs.

Target population: All 10- to 19-year-old girls and boys in low and low-middle income countries where current adult or youth male or female tobacco use exceeds 25 percent (383 million), or those countries where there is a higher percent of female youth using tobacco than adult females (Table A7).

Length of treatment: Nationwide coverage for all five program years.

Treatment of marginal costs: Constant marginal costs.

Special data limitations: Limited cost data, particularly in developing countries.

Table 10. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Reduce Tobacco Use Among Adolescent Girls and Boys (10-19) in High Risk Countries						
		ow-Middle Countries		e Countries nly		
Region	Average Per Annum	Girls and Boys Covered	Average Per Annum	Girls and Boys Covered		
	Cost (millions US\$2009)	National Population Age 10-60 (thousands)	Cost (millions US\$2009)	National Population Age 10-60 (thousands)		
Africa	1,189	119,839	687	72,614		
Ameu	1,103	489,079	007	282,548		
Americas	470	17,255	63.9	2,352		
Americas	170	80,675		10,957		
Eastern	2,182	95,887	0	0		
Mediterranean		489,285	, and the second	0		
Europe	529	8,121	47.5	1,115		
Luiope	323	65,500	17.5	5,877		
South-East Asia	2,434	93,471	964	41,273		
Journ East Asia	2,434	524,343	304	207,720		
Western Pacific	799	48,686	444	25,848		
Western rueme	733	254,868	7-7-7	141,431		
Global Per	7,604	383,259	2,206	143,202		
Annum	7,004	1,903,750	2,200	648,533		
Global Total	38,020	383,259	11,031	143,202		
2010-2015	30,020	1,903,750	11,031	648,533		
China and India	2,177	447,541				
China ana mala	2,1//	2,690,190				

¹⁸ The WHO Choice Database provides data on the cost effectiveness and DALYs averted of the four different anti-tobacco interventions and different levels of taxation on tobacco across regions (http://www.who.int/choice/results/en/index.html)

Creating particular types of social resources for girls and their families

Safe Spaces Programs

Intervention Description: Community work, mentor stipends, and professional development for enrolled girls to build friendship networks for girls in a safe environment and support them as decision-makers in control of their choices and life decisions.

Average annual unit cost: \$130.51 per girl¹⁹ includes all annual capital and recurrent costs, administration, monitoring and evaluation, and training.

Target population: 30% of estimated percent of girls ages 10-19 in low- and low-middle income countries currently out of secondary school, or at risk of not transitioning to secondary school, of which there are an estimated 42 million, ²⁰ (Table A6) based on the rationale that reaching 30% of girls in a community and will result in creation of additional safe space clubs and will reach the remaining girls.

Length of treatment: One year of enrollment per girl.

Treatment of marginal costs: Constant marginal costs.

Special data limitations: Limited program experience and cost data. Costs will vary by the time it takes to root the program in the community depending on existing community partnerships and social capital, intensity required to develop girl mentor skills, and by types of interventions girls need in different area.

Table 11. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Enroll 30% of Adolescent Girls (Age 10-19) Out of School in Safe Spaces Programs							
		ow-Middle Countries	Low Income Countries Only				
Region	Average Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009)	Average Girls Covered Per Annum (thousands)	Average Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009)	Average Girls Covered Per Annum (thousands)			
Africa	557	4,270	388	2,976			
Americas	26	197	1	9			
Eastern Mediterranean	267	2,050	58	441			
Europe	6	49	2	16			
South-East Asia	193	1,479	132	1,010			
Western Pacific	56	431	45	346			
Global Per Annum	1,106	8,476	626	4,799			
Global Total 2010-2015	5,531	42,379	3,131	23,993			
China and India	800	5,949					

_

¹⁹ Population Council second phase of Guatemala "Abriendo Opprtunidades" safe spaces program

²⁰ UNESCO estimates of the current percentage of girls not in secondary school in each country (based on latest available national surveys)

Generating greater health benefits from investments in education and other sectors

Comprehensive Sexuality Education

Intervention Description: 1) Training teachers on education around sexuality, reproductive health, gender, and human rights; 2) debates on sexuality, gender and human rights and essay writing contests on ways students can protect themselves from HIV infection; 3) video/media about sexuality, gender, and human rights education; 5) relative risk information campaign.

Average annual unit cost: \$6.02 per student²¹ to include excluding costs for monitoring and evaluation.

Target population: All 10- to 19-year-old girls enrolled in school in low and low-middle income countries (estimated 137 million girls).

Length of treatment: One year per girl.

Treatment of marginal costs: Increasing by 20% to reach last 20% of population.

Special data limitations: Unit costs will vary by requirements for development of educational materials; production of education materials; training school teachers; amount of school teaching time dedicated to health, sexuality, and gender education; and personnel and administration costs.

Table 12. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Provide Comprehensive Sexuality Education to All In-School Adolescent Girls (Age 10- 19) in Life Skills Programs							
		Low-Middle Countries	Low Income Countries Only				
Region	Average Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009)	Average Girls Covered Per Annum (thousands)	Average Per Annum Cost (millions US\$2009)	Average Girls Covered Per Annum (thousands)			
Africa	37	5,986	25	3,939			
Americas	38	6,059	1	202			
Eastern Mediterranean	31	5,022	1	213			
Europe	11	1,708	5	810			
South-East Asia	33	5,347	10	1,540			
Western Pacific	20	3,245	8	1,344			
Global Per Annum	171	27,367	50	8,048			
Global Total 2010- 2015	857	136,836	252	40,238			
China and India	134	22,261					

⁻

²¹ Jameel, Abdul, Latif (2007). Cheap and Effective Ways to Change Adolescents' Sexual Health Behavior. Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Poverty Action Lab. February 2007.

TRACKING GLOBAL AID FLOWS TO ADOLESCENT GIRLS

Current innovations in global tracking of donor expenditures and government National Health Accounts (NHA) provide an opportunity to improve tracking of health resource flows to adolescent girls. Global tracking of donor expenditures has emphasized tracking of aggregate aid flows to countries and sectors, rather than flows to beneficiary groups. Aid to some sectors such as sexual and reproductive health can be assumed to flow primarily to females of child bearing ages, but in other sectors global aggregate aid flows to demographic groups are not available. Donor disaggregation and reporting of project data is inconsistent, and contingent upon the quality of monitoring and evaluation that may vary by project, and is typically found in project documents rather than collected systematically in centralized databases.

Donors, governments, and civil society organizations have an opportunity to work with one another to improve the way in which aid flows to adolescent girls' health are tracked, reported, and analyzed: 1) develop standardized gender- and age-responsive indicators with agreed upon age brackets and update monitoring and evaluation plans accordingly, 2) implement performance standards for those collecting and reporting data, 3) aggregate annual project spending and disaggregate spending by beneficiary subgroups, 4) join initiatives such as IATI and/or advocate for development of gender and age reporting standards, 5) use social mapping and GIS tools to identify to whom and from where expenditures are flowing and analyze gaps both in sector spending to areas and groups to encourage more efficient use and targeting of resources, 6) strengthen capacity of women's ministries and civil society organizations to advocate for adolescent girls' health in setting priority policy objectives for NHA subaccounts and to institute and support electronic tracking and reporting mechanisms, and 7) use reported data on global and national spending for health of adolescent girls to contribute to analysis of spending on girls.

Global Tracking of Donor Expenditures

Global tracking of donor expenditures to date has been oriented toward tracking aggregate global aid flows to countries and sectors, rather than aggregate global aid flows to specific beneficiary groups such as adolescent girls. Aid to some sectors, such as sexual and reproductive health, can be assumed to flow primarily to females of child bearing ages, but even in the cases of family planning services it is not always the case that resources are flowing directly to this beneficiary group, and particularly to those within this group that are most socially excluded and in hard to reach areas. Further, in other sectors such as education, infrastructure, or water and sanitation, it is not possible to identify at a global aggregate level how much of the aid is benefiting specific demographic groups.

Although more donors are moving toward disaggregating project beneficiaries by gender, and some by age, this is often inconsistent and contingent upon the quality of monitoring and evaluation planning and reporting guided by institutional social and gender policies. Further, information is mostly found in project documents that may be compared against project budgets, and is not often collected in centralized databases. Aggregate tracking of annual project expenditures on specific beneficiary groups disaggregated by sex and age is particularly lacking, thus presenting challenges to centralized data tracking of aid flows to adolescent girls globally.

Some donors, such as PEPFAR, have developed gender-responsive indicators that have prompted reporting of project beneficiaries that can be compared against project spending. PEPFAR is also in the process of developing gender- and age- responsive indicators with the Global Health Council and civil

society organizations that will make it possible to improve tracking of expenditures on adolescent girls at the project level in the future.²²

Existing sources of global aid tracking information include the OECD DAC and CRS databases, country Aid Management Systems (AIMS), and donor websites and annual reports. Existing challenges to using aggregate aid reporting include: 1) a lack of data and information, 2) lack of availability of data in a readily accessible or comparable format, 3) fragmentation that imposes high costs on both users and providers of aid information, 4) donors managing data requests, 5) country systems relying on costly manual input, and 6) users unable to reconcile information from different sources.²³

OECD's Development Assistance Committee (DAC), of which there are 23 members, serve as a centralized global source of aid flow and report quantities of aid by sector to recipient countries

annually. The data covers 100% of ODA as defined by DAC and the classifications are stable, making the data directly comparable between countries over time. DAC is designed to meet the needs of donors, which include monitoring spending against ODA targets, rather than the needs of recipients which may include data to analyze public budgeting and make policy decisions. It is difficult to determine the precise amount spent in different sectors because projects must be assigned to a single category to avoid double

Box 1. OECD DAC Sector Spending Related to Adolescent Girls

DAC sectors relevant to spending on adolescent girls include: basic nutrition, child soldiers (prevention and demobilization), family planning, health education, higher education, primary education, reproductive health care, secondary education, social mitigation of HIV/AIDS, social/welfare services, STI control including HIV/AIDS, and women's equality organizations and institutions.

In 2007, \$507.8 million was reported to be committed globally by donors to reproductive healthcare and \$251.8 million to family planning, representing 3.4 percent of total health ODA commitments and less than 1 percent of total ODA commitments in all sectors. It is not possible to ascertain from this overall sector spending how much of this has been invested in adolescent girls (age 10-19).

counting, and there is no detailed information that shows the location of the recipient project or beneficiaries of the aid. DAC members also separately report project-level information to the Creditor Reporter System (CRS), to which it is estimated that they report 90% of project level spending.²⁴

Launched in 2008 and still in its formative stages, The International Aid Transparency Initiative (IATI) has drawn upon aid tracking mechanisms such as OECD DAC with the goal of making information about aid spending more accessible to use and understand in order to assist those in aid to improve tracking of what aid is being used for and what it is achieving. IATI is intended to provide a forum for donors, developing country governments, and civil society organizations to agree upon common information standards applicable to all aid flows. Inclusion of sex- and age-disaggregated beneficiary data is currently not being discussed as part of its standardized reporting and definitions for sharing information.²⁵

²² Personal communication with Nomi Fuchs-Montgomery of PEPFAR (July 2009).

 $^{^{\}rm 23}$ International Aid Transparency Initiative Review of Standards. May 5 2009.

²⁴ International Aid Transparency Initiative Review of Standards. May 5 2009.

²⁵ www.aidinfo.org

National Health Accounts

National Health Accounts (NHAs) are used by over 100 governments to classify and track all health expenditures in the country in order to assist policy-makers in understanding their health systems and improving health system performance. Subaccounts are used to disaggregate national health expenditure information by specific health issues, which countries select based on policy priorities within a standardized framework. Because adolescent girls seldom are considered on countries' priority policy agenda, NHA expenditure by age and sex is not consistently reported, despite availability of the data.²⁶

NHAs use a classification of expenditures and of actors within the health system to track where resources come from (contributions by donors, governments, civil society, and households) and where they go (entities managing health expenditures), to what types of services and goods the funds purchase (healthcare activities performed), who provides the services, what inputs are used for providing the services (including human resources, drugs, etc.), and who benefits from the services. Beneficiary groups may be classified into disease specific, socio-demographic, or geopolitical groups.²⁷

NHA subaccounts further disaggregate national health expenditure information by specific health issues, such as HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, malaria, reproductive health, and child health.²⁸ New subaccounts for health information systems and mental health are under development. NHA subaccounts are flexible enough in their definitions to accommodate country needs in tracking expenditures, but also follow a standard framework to ensure validity of data and facilitate country comparisons. Subaccounts are intended to be a routine and ongoing function of the government's health information system and are ideally completed on a regular basis every two to three years, compiling data from existing primary and secondary sources such as studies and reports and ongoing surveys (such as Demographic and Health Surveys) where possible.²⁹

Programs such as the USAID funded 20/20 program are working with country partners and their ministries of health and planning to improve NHA data collection and reporting. It is currently not standard practice to disaggregate subaccounts by gender and age. Within broader classifications countries decide on further classifications depending on their policies, and in some countries where priority policy issues include targeting adolescent girls such data may be reported, but in most cases adolescents and adolescent girls are not part of country's priority policies that inform the data reporting of NHAs. In many cases beneficiary data by age and sex is being collected through various survey and data collection mechanisms, but the country may not have a policy interest in consistently reporting this data. In developing NHA subaccounts and the policy objectives that the NHA will inform, stakeholders include the national health ministry, NGOs, civil society, and women's ministries among others.³⁰

Countries that sign the Conventions on the Rights of the Child commit themselves to allocating resources to children, including for publicly provided health care.³¹ Signatories are also requested to report measures taken to report relevant disaggregated data by gender, age, and rural/ urban location, for example, where relevant. NHAs are a useful tool to track health spending and investments in

²⁶ http://www.healthsystems2020.org/

http://www.healthsystems2020.org/

National Health Accounts (NHA) Subaccounts: Tracking Health Expenditures to Meet the Millennium Development Goals

²⁹ Personal communication with Jeremy Snider of Abt Associates (July 2009).

³⁰ Personal communication with Jeremy Snider of Abt Associates (July 2009).

³¹ Child Health Sub Accounts: Resource Tracking and Policy Planning (USAID August 2007) Health Systems 20/20

children, and NHA subaccounts in child health can be used to track spending on children. Data collected through NHAs allow policymakers to identify whether or not funds reach the intended targets, and how they promote transparency and accountability in child health spending. Countries including Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Ethiopia, and Malawi have child health subaccounts. However, these accounts only track spending for children from birth up to five years in age, thus not capturing spending on adolescents ages 10-19.

Box 2. Definition of NHA reproductive health subaccount category in Sri Lanka

In Sri Lanka the NHA includes a category for "other reproductive health services" defined as "other categories of reproductive health services...intended to enable both women and men to exercise safely their reproductive health functions. They include services dealing with sub-fertility, sexual behavior, adolescent health, treatment and prevention of reproductive tract infections and conditions, including cancers of the reproductive system, menopausal problems, and genetic counseling services for the prevention of specific congenital abnormalities."

Some countries have identified issues such as sexual and reproductive health as policy priorities that have subsequently been tracked through NHA subaccounts. In Rwanda, for example, it was identified through the Rwandan Integrated Living Conditions Survey that the current economic growth rate in Rwanda is insufficient to support rapid population growth resulting from high birth rates. As such, reproductive health is a top priority for policymakers, which is reflected in the Rwanda NHA subaccount for reproductive health (RH). In 2006 the Rwanda RH

subaccount showed that RH accounted for only 6% of total health expenditures in 2006. This information tracked by the NHA subaccount was in turn used by the government and health planners to advocate for and select family planning/reproductive health as one of the four priority areas in the 2008 Rwandan Joint Annual Health Work Plan.³²

In Yemen, a USAID 20/20 funded program worked with Yemen Partners for Health Reform (YPHR) to better target family planning services.³³ YPHR is working in five underserved, rural governorates in Yemen to enhance health information as a foundation for better management of health resources and better governance, to build capacity in health financing, operations, and governance, and strengthen routine immunization and surveillance to prevent disease at a lower cost. YPHR addresses family planning services through identifying gaps in targeting and funding, developing data requirements for improving accessibility and equitable distribution of family planning services, and focusing on evidencebased decision-making using GIS-enhanced solutions. With these tools it identifies women and girls of child bearing age as an underserved population and supports improvement of delivery services to this population through improved transparency and accountability of HIS resources, data sources, and indicators. Data has been leveraged for governorate-level decision-making by targeting the directorgeneral of health, health officials/ managers, statisticians, and hospital administrators to use an eHealth solutions program. This is an easy-to-use health care planning, targeting, management, budgeting, and evaluation tool set in a GIS environment. As a result, GIS mapping is being used to identify underserved populations by sex and age to target limited resources by avoiding duplication of family planning services' coverage area, justifying training facilities employing new family planning service capacity,

³² USAID 20/20 Program. Directing Attention to Specific Health Issues: Rwanda Reproductive Health Subaccount.

³³ Yemen Partners for Reproductive Health. Power point Abdul Jabbar Ali Al Ghaithi, MD and Mark Landry, U.S. Department of State. November 7, 2007.

locating priority or underserved rural areas for education campaigns, and reducing health care costs by allocating and tracking family planning resources.³⁴

Recommendations for Improving Tracking of Aid Flows to Adolescent Girls

Opportunities exist in current innovations taking place to improve both donor tracking of aid and in government reporting of health expenditures. Considering both the opportunities and the challenges of current innovations in global donor aid tracking and national health accounts, donors, governments, and civil society organizations are positioned to take action to improve reporting of health spending on girls. All stakeholders play a role in improving the way in which aid flows to adolescent girls' health is tracked, reported, and analyzed.

Donors

- Update monitoring and evaluation reporting requirements to ensure sex- and age-disaggregated data is systematically collected and reported by grantees and contractors and implement contract performance standards for data reporting;
- Require that disaggregation of beneficiaries by sex and age is tracked across all sectors, rather than only in interventions that specifically target a specific group (such as girls/women of reproductive age targeted for reproductive health);
- Adopt existing gender- and age-disaggregated indicators being developed and used by donors to ensure consistent reporting across donor organizations;
- Aggregate annual project spending and disaggregate beneficiary data to analyze to whom resources are being allocated and how they may be allocated more efficiently;
- Use tools encouraged by IATI such as social mapping (GIS) overlaid with project spending to identify where and to whom expenditures are flowing and analyze gaps in sector spending to both areas and groups to encourage more efficient use and targeting of resources;
- Use initiatives such as IATI as a platform by becoming members and advocating for development
 of consistent terminology for a direct and indirect "beneficiary" and age brackets that donors
 use for reporting; and
- Assist health ministries, women's ministries, and relevant civil society organizations in strengthening technical capacity to advocate for adolescent girls health in setting policy objectives for NHA subaccounts and to institute electronic tracking and reporting mechanisms.

Governments

- Consistently disaggregate National Health Account data by age and sex of beneficiary populations receiving aid across subaccounts, not limited to those subaccounts specific to one demographic group;
- Invest in development of electronic tracking systems for beneficiary data collection and health spending;
- Ensure stakeholders, including women, social ministries and civil society organizations, working with adolescent girls are involved in setting priorities for NHA subaccounts;
- Streamline local collection of beneficiary and spending data at national levels and coordinate with donor efforts;

³⁴ November 7, 2007 power point Abdul Jabbar Ali Al Ghaithi, MD and Mark Landry, U.S. Department of State

- Consider a subaccount category for adolescents ages 10-19 disaggregated by sex in addition to existing subaccount categories for children under five; and
- Become a signatory to the Conventions on the Rights of the Child and commit to allocating resources to children, including for publicly provided health care, and take measures to report relevant disaggregated data by gender, age, and rural/ urban location.

Civil Society Organizations and NGOs

- Advocate for donors to include gender and age in operational guidelines for IATI tracking system and for developing age brackets for data reporting;
- Advocate for systematic reporting and data collection of sex and age disaggregated data by government health ministries and NHAs;
- Adapt sex and age indicators in monitoring and evaluation for project work and regularly track and report project expenditures by beneficiary groups; and
- Use reported data on global and national spending for health of adolescent girls to contribute to field of analysis and identify data gaps.

REFERENCES

Brazil Agita Program. Personal communication with author. July 2009.

De Benoist, et al (2008). Worldwide Prevalence of Anaemia 1993-2005. WHO Global Database on Anaemia. WHO/CDC. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241596657 eng.pdf

Diop, et al (2004). The TOSTAN Program Evaluation of a Community Based Education Program in Senegal. Population Council, TOSTAN. August 2004. http://www.popcouncil.org/pdfs/frontiers/FR FinalReports/Senegal Tostan%20FGC.pdf

Goldie, Sue J., et al (2008). Health and economic outcomes of HPV 16,18 vaccination in 72 GAVI-eligible countries. Vaccine 26 (2008) 4080-4093.

GAVI Alliance (2007). HPV Vaccine Adoption in Developing Countries: Cost and Financing Issues. International AIDS Vaccine Initiative and PATH. http://www.iavi.org/HPVfinancing; www.path.org/publications

International Aid Transparency Initiative Review of Standards. May 5 2009.

Jameel, Abdul, Latif (2007). Cheap and Effective Ways to Change Adolescents' Sexual Health Behavior. Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Poverty Action Lab. February 2007.

Jamison, et al (2006). Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries (Second Edition). IBRD/ The World Bank. 2006.

Johns Hopkins University with local partners. HEART (Helping Each Other Out) Program information received through personal communication (July 2009).

IAVI, PATH. HPV Vaccine Adoption in Developing Countries: Cost and Financing Issues. New York: IAVI; Seattle, WA: PATH; 2007. Available at http://www.iavi.org/viewfile.cfm?fid=47496

PEPFAR. Personal communication with Nomi Fuchs-Montgomery of PEPFAR (July 2009).

Bruce, Judith. Personal Communication with author. 2009. Population Council second phase of Guatemala "Abriendo Opprtunidades" safe spaces program.

Saxenian, Helen, and Rob Hecht (2006). HPV Vaccines: Costs and Financing. Stop Cervical Cancer: Accelerating Global Access to HPV Vaccines (December 12-13, 2006). London: IAVI.

Stenberg, Karin. Measuring costs related to the provision of health services for young people. WHO Department of Child and Adolescent Health Department. Powerpoint Presentation February 2008.

Tostan CEP Program. Personal Communication

UNICEF (2009). State of the World's Children 2009. http://www.unicef.org/sowc09/docs/SOWC09 Table 9.pdf United Nations (2008). Human Development Indices: A Statistical Update (2008). http://hdr.undp.org/en/media/HDI 2008 EN Tables.pdf

USAID. Directing Attention to Specific Health Issues: Rwanda Reproductive Health Subaccount.

USAID. National Health Accounts (NHA) Subaccounts: Tracking Health Expenditures to Meet the Millennium Development Goals.

USAID. Child Health Sub Accounts: Resource Tracking and Policy Planning (USAID August 2007) Health Systems 20/20.

World Bank (2009). Costing the Scale-up of Nutrition Programming. March 26, 2009 Draft.

World Health Organization (2009). Weekly epidemiological record. No. 1-2, 2009, 84, 1-16. January 9, 2009.

World Health Organization (2009). WHO Choice Database. Accessed June 2009. http://www.who.int/choice/interventions/en/

Yemen Partners for Health. Power point Abdul Jabbar Ali Al Ghaithi, MD and Mark Landry, U.S. Department of State. November 7, 2007.

Appendix A. Coverage Targets

Table A1. Estimated Population of Adolescent Girls (thousands) in Low and Low-Middle Income Countries (2010-2015)

Region	Age 10-14	Age 15-19	Age 10-19	Global Distribution %
Africa	53,914	47,187	101,101	36%
Americas	16,852	16,721	33,573	12%
Eastern Mediterranean	30,726	28,543	59,269	21%
Europe	4,247	5,112	9,359	3%
South-East Asia	25,929	25,458	51,387	18%
Western Pacific	11,456	11,954	23,410	8%
GLOBAL TOTAL	143,124	134,975	278,099	100%
China and India	102,700	107,755	210,455	43%

Estimates based on United Nations International Population Statistics (2008) projected population estimates with a medium variant fertility rate 2010-2015.

Table A2. Estimated Population of Adolescent Boys (thousands) in Low and Low-Middle Income Countries (2010-2015)

Region	Age 10-14 Age 15-19		Age 10-19	Age 20-24	
Africa	54,795	47,770	102,565	41,987	
Americas	17,470	17,239	34,709	16,291	
Eastern Mediterranean	32,292	29,955	62,247	29,142	
Europe	4,457	5,301	9,758	5,697	
South-East Asia	26,881	26,358	53,239	25,650	
Western Pacific	12,093	12,682	24,775	11,284	
GLOBAL TOTAL	147,988	139,305	287,293	130,051	
China and India	116,295	120,791	237,086	121,617	

Estimates based on United Nations International Population Statistics (2008) projected population estimates with a medium variant fertility rate 2010-2015.

Table A3. Estimated Population of Adolescent Girls (thousands) Living under \$2 per day in Low and Low-Middle Income Countries (2010-2015)

				Global Distribution
Region	Age 10-14	Age 15-19	Age 10-19	%
Africa	40,191	35,050	75,242	50%
Americas	3,850	3,814	7,664	5%
Eastern				
Mediterranean	11,606	10,749	22,355	15%
Europe	1,647	1,874	3,521	2%
South-East Asia	14,842	14,589	29,430	20%
Western Pacific	5,363	5,586	10,950	7%
GLOBAL TOTAL	77,499	71,663	149,162	100%
China and India	63,536	64,924	128,461	46%

Estimates based on United Nations International Population Statistics (2008) projected population estimates with a medium variant fertility rate 2010-2015 and UN HDI (2008) % of population living below \$2/day.

Table A4. Estimated Population of Adolescent Boys (thousands) Living Under \$2 per day in Low and Low-Middle Income Countries (2010-2015)

Region	Total Boys (10-14)	-		Global Distribution %	
Africa	40,838	35,462	76,300	50%	
Americas	3,992	3,927	7,919	5%	
Eastern Mediterranean	12,218	11,301	23,519	15%	
Europe	1,710	1,934	3,645	2%	
South-East Asia	15,411	15,139	30,550	20%	
Western Pacific	5,661	5,927	11,588	8%	
GLOBAL TOTAL	79,830	73,690	153,521	100%	
China and India	70,838	72,029	142,867	48%	

Estimates based on United Nations International Population Statistics (2008) projected population estimates with a medium variant fertility rate 2010-2015 and UN HDI (2008) % of population living below \$2/day.

Table A5. Estimated Population of Adolescent Girls (thousands) at Risk for Anemia in Low and Low-Middle Income Countries (2010-2015)

				Global Distribution
Region	Age 10-14	Age 15-19	Age 10-19	%
Africa	31,253	27,307	58,561	45%
Americas	5,446	5,399	10,846	8%
Eastern				
Mediterranean	13,554	12,564	26,118	20%
Europe	1,681	1,987	3,667	3%
South-East Asia	11,702	11,496	23,198	18%
Western Pacific	4,463	4,584	9,047	7%
GLOBAL TOTAL	68,099	63,338	131,437	100%
China and India	42,064	43,314	85,378	39%

Projected estimates calculated based on United Nations Statistics projected population estimates (2008) of girls 10-19 utilizing medium variant fertility estimates in each low and low-middle income country within each region and WHO estimates (2008) of % of pregnant women (15-49) with anemia by country.

Table A6. Estimated Population of Adolescent Girls (thousands) Out of Secondary School or At Risk for Not Transitioning to Secondary School in Low and Low-Middle Income Countries (2010-2015)

				Global Distribution
Region	Age 10-14	Age 15-19	Age 10-19	%
Africa	37,993	33,179	71,171	50%
Americas	1,662	1,616	3,278	2%
Eastern				
Mediterranean	17,737	16,423	34,160	24%
Europe	376	445	820	0.6%
South-East Asia	12,426	12,226	24,651	17%
Western Pacific	3,454	3,729	7,183	5%
GLOBAL TOTAL	73,647	67,616	141,263	100%
China and India	48,704	50,446	99,150	41%

Projected estimates calculated based on United Nations Statistics projected population estimates of girls 10-19 utilizing medium variant fertility estimates in each low and low-middle income country within each region and UNESCO estimates of % of girls out of secondary school by country based on latest annual enrollment data available.

29%

17%

24%

9%

13%

22%

55%

4%

7%

13%

52%

26%

55%

28%

26%

34%

4%

5%

12%

26%

3%

7%

4%

16%

7%

10%

23%

16%

8%

3%

33%

66%

45%

35%

40%

Western Pacific

60%

59%

65%

46%

42%

58%

62%

49%

46%

12%

9%

4%

3%

5%

8%

30%

2%

4%

5%

40%

16%

40%

17%

20%

20%

2%

Adult Adult Male **Female** Adult Male **Female** Males **Females** Youth Youth Country (Con't) **Adult Males Females** Youth Youth Africa Iraq 26% 3% 18% 15% Central African Republic 30% 0% 35% Morocco 30% 13% 8% 28% 14% 22% 35% 7% 21% 12% 15% Pakistan 14% 3% 37% 29% Syrian Arab Republic 31% 22% 12% 1% 26% 22% Tunisia 51% 2% 28% 9% Europe 15% 2% 22% 10% 29% 3% 34% 37% Albania 41% 4% 17% 9% 10% 1% 12% 11% Armenia 55% 4% 13% 3% 27% 2% 15% 15% Georgia 57% 6% 36% 14% Kyrgyz Republic 10% 26% 22% 47% 2% 4% Moldova 21% 33% 14% 46% 7% 22% 4% Ukraine 30% 22% 32% 30% 24% 6% 19% South-East Asia 18% 20% 3% 23% 9% Bangladesh 47% 4% 9% 5%

Table A7. Percentage of Tobacco Use in High Prevalence Low and Low-Middle Income Countries

11%

10%

24%

15%

26%

8%

17%

26%

15%

28%

14%

24%

18%

21%

13%

8%

26%

20%

Bhutan

Indonesia

Maldives

Thailand

Timor-Leste

Cambodia

Korea, Dem Rep.

Papua New Guinea

China

Lao PDR

Micronesia

Mongolia

Philippines

Samoa

Tonga

Vanuatu

Vietnam

Nepal

India

Country

Comoros Congo, DR

Congo, Rep.

Gambia

Ghana

Kenya

Lesotho

Malawi

Nigeria

Senegal

Uganda

Zambia

Bolivia

Ecuador El Salvador

Colombia

Haiti

Guatemala

Honduras

Nicaragua

Paraguay

Egypt

Jordan

Iran

Zimbabwe

Sierra Leone

Mali

Madagascar

Mauritania

13%

20%

21%

22%

26%

Americas

34% 24%

25%

33%

Eastern Mediterranean

29%

63%

30%

1%

2%

3%

5%

4%

29%

6%

4%

3%

15%

1%

10%

6%

19%

20%

20%

17%

26%

15%

25%

31%

24%

27%

20%

22%

23%

30%

21%

16%

34%

33%

Côte d'Ivoire

Percentage of tobacco use is reported from WHO World Health Statistics 2009 that are based on most recent national or regional tobacco use surveys. Hot spot countries are included based on either: 1) Prevalence of 25% or higher of adolescent boys or girls (age 13-15) currently using tobacco products; 2) Prevalence of 25% or higher of adult male or females (over the age of 15) currently using tobacco products; or 3) Countries in which the smoking prevalence of adolescent females (13-15) exceeds the prevalence of adult female smoking by more than 5% indicating an increased trend in adolescent girls' use of tobacco products.

Table A8. Percentage of Women Married Before the Age of 18 (Ages 15-49) in High Prevalence Low and Low-Middle Income Countries

Country	National	Urban	Rural	Country	Total (National)
Niger	Average 75%	42%	84%	Tanzania	41%
Chad	72%	65%	73%	Liberia	40%
Mali	71%	60%	77%	Senegal	39%
Bangladesh	64%	58%	69%	Madagascar	39%
Guinea	63%	45%	75%	Honduras	39%
Central African Republic	61%	57%	64%	Gambia, The	36%
Mozambique	56%	41%	66%	Cameroon	36%
Sierra Leone	56%	34%	66%	Côte d'Ivoire	35%
Nepal	51%	41%	54%	Mauritania	35%
Malawi	50%	38%	53%	Benin	34%
Ethiopia	49%	27%	55%	Zimbabwe	34%
Burkina Faso	48%	29%	61%	Guatemala	34%
Eritrea	47%	31%	60%	Sudan	34%
India	47%	29%	56%	São Tomé and Principe	33%
Uganda	46%	27%	52%	Pakistan	32%
Somalia	45%	35%	52%	Yemen, Rep.	32%
Afghanistan	43%	-	_	Congo, Rep.	31%
Nigeria	43%	27%	52%	Comoros	30%
Nicaragua	43%	36%	55%	Haiti	30%
Zambia	42%	32%	49%		

Percentage of females is reported from UNICEF State of the World's Children data based on most recent MICS, DHS, and other national surveys. Countries included are those with a reported national percentage of 30% or higher of females married before age 18.

Table A9. Percentage of Females (Age 15-19) with FGC and Estimated Population of Adolescent Girls (thousands) at Risk for FGC (Age 10-12) in Hot Spot Low and Low-Middle Income Countries 2010-2015

2010-2015						
Region	National Average Females 15-49 (%) with FGC	Urban Females 15-49 (%) with FGC	Rural Females 15-19 (%) with FGC	Females 15-49 (%) with one or more daughters with FGC	Estimated Number of Girls (10- 12) At Risk of FGC (2010- 2015)	
		Africa				
Guinea	0.96	0.94	0.96	0.57	760	
Sierra Leone	0.94	0.86	0.97	0.35	435	
Eritrea	0.89	0.86	0.91	0.63	349	
Mali	0.85	0.81	0.87	0.69	885	
Gambia, The	0.78	0.72	0.83	0.64	107	
Ethiopia	0.74	0.69	0.76	0.38	4,980	
Burkina Faso	0.73	0.76	0.71	0.25	931	
Mauritania	0.72	0.6	0.84	0.66	173	
Guinea-Bissau	0.45	0.39	0.48	0.35	56	
Chad	0.45	0.47	0.44	0.21	419	
Côte d'Ivoire	0.36	0.34	0.39	0.9	588	
Kenya	0.32	0.21	0.36	0.21	1,001	
Senegal	0.28	0.22	0.34	0.2	284	
Central African Republic	0.26	0.21	0.29	0.7	89	
Nigeria	0.19	0.28	0.14	0.1	2,263	
Total Africa					8,405	
	Eas	tern Mediterra	anean			
Somalia	0.98	0.97	0.98	0.46	726	
Egypt, Arab Rep.	0.96	0.92	0.98	0.28	4,903	
Djibouti	0.93	0.93	0.96	0.49	56	
Sudan	0.89	0.88	0.9	0.43	2,804	
Yemen, Rep.	0.23	0.26	0.22	0.2	443	
Total Eastern Mediterrar	nean				8,488	
Total Global						

Percentage of females is reported from UNICEF State of the World's Children data based on most recent MICS, DHS, and other national surveys. Countries included are those with a reported national percentage of 20% or higher of females with FGC. Estimated number of girls at risk (2010-2015) is calculated by multiplying the projected population of girls age 10-12 (2010-2015) by current percentage of females with FGC in each country.

Table <i>i</i>	Table A10. Percentage of Youth and Adults Overweight in High Prevalence Low and Low-Middle Income Countries								
Country	Children < 5 years (%) overweight	Male adults > 15 years (%) who are obese	Female adults > 15 years (%) who are obese	Youth (age 13-15) % overweight	Country (Con't)	Children < 5 years (%) overweight	Male adults > 15 years (%) who are obese	Female adults > 15 years (%) who are obese	Youth (age 13-15) (%) overweight
	Af	rica			Jordan	4.70%	21.10%	20.10%	21.23%
Algeria	15.40%				Morocco	13.30%	8.20%	11.00%	
Angola	5.30%				Yemen, Rep.	3.70%			11.80%
Benin	11.40%						Europe		
Burkina Faso	5.40%		2.40%		Albania	25.20%			
Cameroon	8.70%		8.20%		Armenia	11.70%		15.50%	
Central African Republic	10.80%				Azerbaijan	6.20%	4.90%	17.90%	
Comoros	21.50%				Kyrgyz Republic	10.70%			
Ghana	2.60%		8.10%	6.94%	Moldova	9.10%		18.20%	
Guinea-Bissau	17.00%				Tajikistan	6.70%			
Malawi	10.20%		2.40%		Ukraine	26.50%	11.30%		
Swaziland	11.40%	3.90%	23.10%		Uzbekistan	12.80%	5.40%	7.10%	
Uganda	4.90%		4.10%	7.10%		Sc	outh-East Asia	9	
	Ame	ericas	T		India	1.90%	1.30%	2.80%	10.82%
Brazil	7.30%	8.90%	13.10%		Indonesia	5.10%	1.10%	3.60%	9.92%
Ecuador	5.10%			28.59%	Myanmar				5.06%
El Salvador	5.80%				Sri Lanka	5.20%			4.49%
Guatemala	5.60%				Thailand	8.00%	3.30%	10.20%	16.42%
Guyana	5.50%	14.30%	26.90%		Timor- Leste	5.70%			
Honduras	5.80%		18.80%			W	estern Pacifi	С	
Nicaragua	7.10%		18.70%		China	9.20%	2.40%	3.40%	18.56%
Paraguay	6.30%				Mongolia	14.20%	7.20%	12.50%	
	Eastern Me	diterranean	ı		Philippines	2.40%			9.31%
Djibouti				16.28%	Samoa		44.90%	66.30%	
Egypt, Arab Rep.	14.10%		46.60%	25.58%	Tonga		56.10%	74.90%	
Iraq	15.00%	26.20%	38.20%		Vanuatu		14.40%	25.20%	

Percent of children under five years old and adult males and females over the age 15 that are overweight are based on WHO Statistics (2009) from most recent national survey. Percent of youth age 13-15 that are overweight based on WHO/CDC Global Youth Health Survey. Hot spot countries are those with over 20% of adults obese or those with over 5% of children under age 5 or youth age 13-15 overweight for age.

Table A11. Low and Low-Middle Income Countries Eligible for HPV Vaccination at an Internationally Subsidized Price**

Africa							
Angola	Chad*	Gambia*	Madagascar*	Niger*	Uganda*		
Benin*	Comoros*	Ghana*	Malawi*	Nigeria	Zambia*		
Burkina Faso*	Congo, Dem.*	Guinea	Mali*	Rwanda*	Zimbabwe*		
Burundi*	Congo, Rep.	Guinea-Bissau*	Mauritania*	São Tomé and Principe			
Cameroon	Côte d'Ivoire	Kenya*	Mozambique*	Senegal*			
Cape Verde	Eritrea*	Lesotho	Sierra Leone*	Tanzania*			
CAR*	Ethiopia*	Liberia*		Togo*			
Americas							
Bolivia	Guyana	Haiti*	Honduras	Nicaragua			
		Eastern M	editerranean				
Afghanistan*	Djibouti	Pakistan	Somalia*	Sudan	Yemen*		
		Eu	rope				
Armenia	Georgia	Moldova	Tajikistan*	Ukraine	Uzbekistan*		
Azerbaijan	Kyrgyz Republic*						
		South-	East Asia				
Bangladesh*	India	Myanmar	Nepal*	Sri Lanka	Timor-Leste		
Bhutan	Indonesia						
		Weste	rn Pacific				
Cambodia*	Lao PDR*	Mongolia	Papua New Guinea	Solomon Islands	Vietnam*		
Korea, Dem Rep.*							

^{*}Indicates low income countries.

^{**}For a full list of all 72 GAVI-eligible countries, see: Goldie, Sue J., et al (2008). Health and economic outcomes of HPV 16,18 vaccination in 72 GAVI-eligible countries. Vaccine 26 (2008) 4080-4093. GAVI eligible countries are those countries with GNI per capita of less than \$1,000 and these countries are eligible for internationally subsidized vaccine prices. Two other countries, with higher GDP are also eligible—India and Indonesia.

Appendix B. Detailed Cost Tables

Table B1. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Vaccinate Every 11 Year Old Cohort of Girls in Each Year in Low and Low-Middle Income Countries (millions US\$2009)

(
Region	Per Annum Cost Based on \$17.50 Covere Per Girl in GAVI- Eligible Countries (thouse		Per Annum Cost Based on \$35 Per Girl in Non- GAVI Eligible Countries	Total Girls Covered Per Annum in Non- GAVI Eligible (thousands)			
Africa	185	10,152	23	631			
Amea	103	10,132	25	031			
Americas	7	398	108	2,973			
Eastern Mediterranean	65	3,596	93	2,549			
Europe	14	742	4	108			
South-East Asia	89	4,915	10	270			
Western Pacific	22	1,198	40	1,093			
GLOBAL COST PER ANNUM	382	21,002	277	7,623			
GLOBAL COST 2010-2015	1,911	105,009	1,387	38,115			
China and India	157	8,632	433	11,908			

The per girl costs shown here of \$17.50 per girl in GAVI-eligible countries that include all of the low middle income countries and the majority of the low-middle income countries and is based on an assumption of an internationally subsidized vaccine price under hypothetical vaccination costs. For the low-middle income countries that are not eligible for the subsidized vaccine price, an assumed unit cost of \$35.00 is used.

Table B2. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) of Required Investments in National Anti-Tobacco Programs in Targeted Low and Low-Middle Income Countries (millions US\$2009)

Intervention	Africa	Americas	Eastern Med	Europe	South- East Asia	Western Pacific	GLOBAL	China and India
Excise tax on tobacco products: 600% of supply price (double	48	7	56	10	28	31	180	242
the highest regional rate)	\$0.10	\$0.08	\$0.11	\$0.16	\$0.05	\$0.12	\$0.13	\$0.09
Clean indoor air law	125	31	190	37	121	126	629	986
enforcement	\$0.26	\$0.39	\$0.39	\$0.56	\$0.23	\$0.49	\$0.46	\$0.36
Comprehensive ban on	52	14	77	15	44	47	249	365
tobacco advertising	\$0.11	\$0.17	\$0.16	\$0.22	\$0.08	\$0.18	\$0.19	\$0.13
Information dissemination and	103	26	150	29	95	99	501	584
mass media	\$0.21	\$0.32	\$0.31	\$0.45	\$0.18	\$0.25	\$0.34	\$0.22
TOTAL ANNUAL PACKAGE COST	1,189	470	2,182	529	2,434	799	7,604	2177
	\$2.43	\$5.83	\$4.46	\$8.08	\$4.64	\$3.14	\$5.72	\$3.89
TOTAL FIVE YEAR PROGRAM COST	5,947	2,351	10,908	2,647	12,171	3,996	38,020	10,884

Estimated costs are based on average per capita costs as identified by the WHO Choice database (reported in italics) by region.

Table B3. Average Cost Per Adolescent Girl and Boy (Ages 10-19) to Implement National Anti-Tobacco Programs in Targeted (US\$2009)

Intervention	Africa	Americas	Eastern Med	Europe	South- East Asia	Western Pacific	Global Average	China and India
Excise tax on tobacco products: 600% of supply price (double the highest regional rate)*	\$0.40	\$0.39	\$0.58	\$1.28	\$0.30	\$0.65	\$0.60	\$0.54
Clean indoor air law enforcement	\$1.05	\$1.82	\$1.98	\$4.50	\$1.29	\$2.58	\$2.20	\$2.20
Comprehensive ban on tobacco advertising	\$0.44	\$0.80	\$0.80	\$1.80	\$0.48	\$0.96	\$0.88	\$0.82
Information dissemination	\$0.86	\$1.49	\$1.56	\$3.60	\$1.02	\$2.03	\$1.76	\$1.31
Estimated Number (thousands) of Adolescent Boys and Girls (10-19) Covered (2010-2015)	119,839	17,255	95,887	8,121	93,471	48,686	383,259	447,541
TOTAL PACKAGE UNIT COST	\$2.74	\$4.50	\$4.92	\$11.18	\$3.09	\$6.21	\$5.44	\$4.86

The cost per adolescent boy and girl is estimated by dividing the total estimated cost of the tobacco intervention program by the estimated number of boys and girls. *The revenue received from taxation of tobacco products will exceed the cost to implement the cost and in effect the revenue generated from taxation will more than cover the total intervention costs.

^{*}The revenue received from taxation of tobacco products will exceed the cost to implement the cost and in effect the revenue generated from taxation will more than cover the total intervention costs.

Table B4. Projected Annual (2010-2015) Cost Estimates to Provide a Comprehensive Sexuality Education Package to Adolescent Girls (Age 10-19)

Enrolled in School in Low and Low-Middle Countries (Millions US\$2009)

Region	Train teachers on programs for sexuality, gender, and human rights education (\$2.06 per girl)	Debates on sexuality, gender and human rights and essay writing contests on ways students can protect (\$1.03 per girl)	Video/ media about sexuality, gender, and human rights education (\$1.03 per girl)	Debates and essays on impacts of childbearing (\$1.10 per girl)	Relative risk information campaign (\$0.80 per girl)	Total Health, Sexuality, and Gender Education Package Per Annum (\$6.02 per girl)	Average Number of Girls Targeted Per Annum (thousands)
Africa	13	6	6	7	5	37	5,986
Americas	13	6	6	7	5	38	6,059
Eastern Mediterranean	11	5	5	6	4	31	5,022
Europe	4	2	2	2	1	11	1,708
South-East Asia	11	6	6	6	4	33	5,347
Western Pacific	7	3	3	4	3	20	3,245
GLOBAL TOTAL	59	29	29	31	23	171	27,367
GLOBAL TOTAL (2010-2015)	293	147	147	157	114	857	136,836
China and India	46	23	23	24	18	134	22,261

Unit costs are based on programmatic experience from implementing a life skills education program in Kenya (Kremer 2007). Cost estimates assumes one year of treatment per girl living under \$2/day in low and low-middle income countries.

Table B5. Estimated Average Annual Costs (2010-2015) to Implement Community Awareness Programs to Reduce Harmful Traditional Practices for Adolescent Girls (10-12) At Risk for FGC or (10-19) Early Child Marriage in High Prevalence Low and Low-Middle Income Countries (millions US\$2009)

	Africa	Americas	Eastern Med	South-East Asia	Average Annual Cost Globally	Total Cost 2010-2015	India
Average Per Annum Cost (Low)							
\$62.19 Per Girl	464	20	222	175	882	4,408	690
Average Per Annum Cost (Mean) \$80.85 Per Girl	603	26	137	273	1,039	5,196	897
Per Annum Cost (High) \$99.50 per girl	742	32	169	336	1,279	6,395	1,104
Average Number Girls Reached Per Annum (thousands)	7,454	323	3,578	2,821	14,176	70,880	11,098

Costs per girl are estimated based on total program and direct beneficiary data from a range of Tostan program costs (\$25K- \$40K per program that reaches approximately 50 direct adult and youth males and females and 670 indirect beneficiaries per village/ program).

Table B6. Projected Annual (2010-2015) Cost Estimates to Implement National Obesity Reduction Program in High Prevalence Low and Low-Middle Income Countries (thousands US\$2009)

Region	Educational and Marketing Materials (40%)	Human Resources (35%)	Research (14%)	Logistics (11%)	Average Annual Cost for Full Program (\$0.01 per capita)	Average Population Per Annum in Hot Spot Countries (thousands)	Average Number of Girls (10-19) Covered Per Annum (thousands)	Average Cost Per Girl Annually
Africa	721	630	252	198.14	1,801	180,129	22,507	0.08
Americas	1,080	945	378	296.96	2,700	269,962	23,264	0.12
Eastern Mediterranean Europe	791 432	692 378	277 151	217.49 118.72	1,977 1,079	197,721 107,924	19,605 8,219	0.10 0.13
South-East Asia	1,559	1,364	546	428.75	3,898	389,769	31,593	0.12
Western Pacific	421	368	147	115.67	1,052	105,151	10,263	0.10
GLOBAL PER ANNUM	5,003	4,377	1,751	1,376	12,507	1,250,656	115,451	0.11
GLOBAL TOTAL (2010-2015)	25,013	21,886	8,755	6,879	62,533	1,250,656	115,451	0.55
China and India	10,761	9,416	3,766	2,959	26,902	2,690,190	210,455	0.65

Table B7. Projected Annual Cost Estimates (2010-2015) to Engage Males (Age 15-24) Living Under \$2 Per Day as Partners in Sexual and Reproductive Health in Low and Low-Middle Income Countries (millions US\$2009)

Region	Per Annum Cost Based on \$84.24 Per Unit	Per Annum Cost Based on \$115.78 Per Unit	Per Annum Cost Based on \$147.32 Per Unit	Total Males (15-24) Covered Per Annum (thousands)	Total Poor Adolescent Girls (10-19 Benefiting	Average Cost Per Girl Benefiting
Africa	\$1,161	\$1,596	\$2,030	13,251	15,048	\$106
Americas	\$134	\$184	\$234	1,526	1,533	\$120
Eastern Mediterranean	\$383	\$526	\$669	4,370	4,471	\$118
Europe	\$67	\$92	\$117	766	704	\$131
South-East Asia	\$518	\$711	\$905	5,908	5,886	\$121
Western Pacific	\$196	\$270	\$343	2,238	2,190	\$123
GLOBAL COST PER ANNUM	\$2,458	\$3,379	\$4,299	28,059	29,832	\$113
GLOBAL COST 2010-2015	\$12,291	\$16,893	\$21,495	140,293	149,162	\$113
China and India	\$2,388	\$3,282	\$4,176	28,350	25,692	\$128

Range of unit costs are based on low and high cost per participant for six months of Program H in Brazil.

Table B8. Estimated Annual Average (2010-2015) Cost Estimates to Provide Adolescent Girls (Age 10-19) Living Under Two Dollars Per Day in Low and Low-Middle Income Countries with Access to Youth-Friendly Health Services (Millions US\$2009)

Region	SRH and Counsel (\$5 per unit)	Family Planning/ Contraception (\$2 per unit)	STI Treatment (\$.50 per unit)	Average Counsel and Test for HIV (\$1 per unit)	Total Package of Services (\$8.50 per unit)	Girls Covered Per Annum (thousands)
Africa	78	31	8	16	133	15,048
Americas	8	3	1	2	14	1,533
Eastern Mediterranean	23	9	2	5	40	4,471
Europe	4	1	0	1	6	704
South-East Asia	31	12	3	6	52	5,886
Western Pacific	11	5	1	2	19	2,190
GLOBAL COST PER ANNUM	155	62	16	31	264	29,832
GLOBAL COST 2010- 2015	776	310	78	155	1,319	149,162
China and India	134	53	13	27	227	25,692

Unit costs are based on the average difference in the unit cost of services provided to youth vs. non-youth in Uganda (Stenberg 2008 Power Point).