




Oslo REDD Exchange 2013

1. What is your gender?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013

2. What is your primary regional perspective?

1. Africa



2. Asia



3. Latin America



4. Europe/ North America/ Australia





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013

3. What is your primary professional affiliation?

1. Government/Multilateral Organization



2. Private Sector



3. Civil Society




4. Research





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


4. Which is the most urgent area for agreement in REDD+ negotiations – finance or rules?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013






5. What should be the criteria for allocating international funding – emission reductions or non-carbon benefits?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


6. What is the most important *domestic* constraint on REDD+?

1. Lack of clear forest tenure
 15 %
2. Lack of institutions for coordination across sectors
 20 %
3. Lack of institutions and capacity for MRV
 10 %
4. Lack of political will or motivation for action
 42 %
5. Lack of institutions for REDD+ finance and benefit-sharing
 13 %



Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


6. What is the most important domestic constraint on REDD+?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


6. What is the most important domestic constraint on REDD+?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


6. What is the most important domestic constraint on REDD+?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013






6. What is the most important domestic constraint on REDD+?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


7. What is the most important *international* constraint on REDD+?

1. demand for commodities that convert or degrade forests
 **21 %**
2. insufficient North-South financial transfers
 **11 %**
3. lack of an overall climate agreement and rules for REDD+
 **44 %**
4. availability of finance for activities that convert or degrade forests
 **10 %**
5. lack of a market for forest carbon credits
 **14 %**



Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


8. At what level is increased attention and investment most urgent and important?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


8. At what level is increased attention and investment most urgent and important?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


8. At what level is increased attention and investment most urgent and important?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


8. At what level is increased attention and investment most urgent and important?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


8. At what level is increased attention and investment most urgent and important?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013


9. What is the highest priority for attention to REDD+ safeguards and synergies?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013






9. What is the highest priority for attention to REDD+ safeguards and synergies?





Oslo REDD Exchange 2013






10. What is the highest priority for addressing commodity supply chain impacts?

1. Link to sustainable jurisdictions in forest countries
 27 %
2. Commodity-specific roundtables and voluntary certification
 10 %
3. Voluntary corporate commitments to responsible sourcing
 8 %
4. Financiers sensitized to deforestation risk
 18 %
5. Demand-side policies in consumer countries
 37 %



Oslo REDD Exchange 2013

11. What is the highest priority for action in forest countries?

1. Link REDD+ to poverty reduction, food security, and adaptation to climate change
 **43 %**
2. Strengthen transparency of forest-related information and accountability
 **18 %**
3. Link REDD+ to transformational change with respect to tenure, community rights, and gender
 **22 %**
4. Strengthen rules for benefit-sharing
 **4 %**
5. Link supply chain and REDD+ metrics at jurisdictional levels
 **13 %**



Oslo REDD Exchange 2013

12. What is the highest priority for international action?

1. Commit significant payment-for-performance funding
26 %
2. Accelerate negotiations toward an overall climate agreement
40 %
3. Remove deforestation from global commodity supply chains
21 %
4. Make remotely-sensed data on forests more accessible
3 %
5. Create markets for forest carbon credits
10 %