South Korea

The Commitment to Development Index (CDI) ranks 27 of the world’s richest countries based on their dedication to policies that benefit poor nations. Looking beyond standard comparisons of foreign aid flows, the CDI measures national policies in seven areas that are important to developing countries: aid, trade, finance, migration, environment, security, and technology. This report reviews South Korea’s performance on the 2013 CDI. For more details, visit cgdev.org/cdi.

South Korea’s 2013 CDI Performance

- Overall rank 2013: 26
- Overall score 2013: 3.3
- Change since 2008: 0.1
  (using 2013 methodology)

South Korea ranks 26th overall in 2013. South Korea’s score is brought down by a very small foreign aid program, steep barriers to developing country exports, little contribution to international peacekeeping operations, and high greenhouse gas emissions and fishing subsidies. Although South Korea imposes only few impediments to imports from developing countries, its overall market protection brings down its trade rank to last place. South Korea’s strongest contributions to development come through policies that support innovation at home and diffusion of technological advances abroad. South Korea also accepts high number of students from developing countries.
Aid
Aid quality is just as important as aid quantity, so the CDI measures gross aid as a share of GDP adjusted for various quality factors: it subtracts debt service, penalizes “tied” aid that makes recipients spend aid only on donor goods and services, rewards aid to poor but relatively well-governed recipients, and penalizes overloading poor governments with many small projects.

Score: 1.1
Rank: 23

Weaknesses
- Low net aid volume as a share of the economy (0.12%; rank: 24)
- Large share of tied or partially tied aid (49.28%; rank: 20)
- Allows project proliferation; small average project size (rank: 25)

Trade
International trade has been a force for economic development for centuries. The CDI measures trade barriers in rich countries against exports from developing countries. It also penalizes costly importation processes and restrictions against purchasing services from foreigners.

Score: -1.2
Rank: 27

Strengths
- Low agricultural subsidies (equivalent to a tariff worth -0.2% of the value of imports; rank: 2)
- Relatively low cost to import a shipping container ($680 per container; rank: 2)
- Few days to import a shipping container (3 days; rank: 2)
- Low tariffs on wheat (1.9% of the value of imports; rank: 3)
- Low tariffs on sugar (14.1% of the value of imports; rank: 4)

Weaknesses
- High tariffs on agricultural products (111.5% of the value of imports; rank: 27)
- High tariffs on non-agricultural products (111.3% of the value of imports; rank: 27)
- High tariffs on rice (315.4% of the value of imports; rank: 26)
- High tariffs on dairy (74.9% of the value of imports; rank: 23)
- High tariffs on other meats (25.9% of the value of imports; rank: 24)

Finance
Rich-country investment in poorer countries can transfer technologies, upgrade management, and create jobs. Conversely, policies that permit financial secrecy of companies and banks can facilitate illicit activities and financial flows abroad. The CDI rewards policies that support healthy investment in developing countries and promote transparency in financial transactions at home.

Score: 4.9
Rank: 17

Weaknesses
- Scores below average in the Financial Secrecy Index for having few regulations in place to prevent illicit financial transactions within its jurisdiction (rank: 17)

Migration
The movement of people from poor to rich countries provides unskilled immigrants with jobs, income, and knowledge. This increases the flow of money sent home by migrants abroad and the transfer of skills when the migrants return.

Score: 5.7
Rank: 13

Weaknesses
- Bears small share of the burden of refugees during humanitarian crises (rank: 27)
Environment
Rich countries use a disproportionate amount of scarce resources, and poor countries are most vulnerable to global warming and ecological deterioration, so the CDI measures the impact of policies on the global climate, fisheries, and biodiversity.

Score: 4.3
Rank: 22

Strengths
- High gas taxes ($1.25 per liter; rank: 8)

Weaknesses
- High tropical wood imports ($16.17 per person; rank: 25)
- High fishing subsidies ($3.40 per person; rank: 24)
- High greenhouse gas emissions per capita (14.5 tons of carbon dioxide equivalent; rank: 23)
- Poor compliance with reporting requirements under multilateral environmental agreements relating to biodiversity (rank: 22)

Security
Since security is a prerequisite for development, the CDI rewards contributions to internationally sanctioned peacekeeping operations and forcible humanitarian interventions, military protection of global sea lanes, and participation in international security treaties. It also penalizes arms exports to poor and undemocratic governments.

Score: 1.3
Rank: 26

Weaknesses
- Low personnel and financial contributions to UN and internationally sanctioned peacekeeping and humanitarian interventions over last decade (rank by share of GDP: 27)
- Has not ratified the Mine Ban Treaty and the Convention on Cluster Munitions (CCM)
- Fails to publish arms exports data

Technology
Rich countries contribute to development through the creation and dissemination of new technologies. The CDI captures this by measuring government support for R&D and penalizing strong intellectual property rights regimes that limit the dissemination of new technologies to poor countries.

Score: 6.8
Rank: 1

Strengths
- Significant government support for R&D (rank: 1)
- High government expenditure on R&D (rank by share of GDP: 2)
- High tax subsidy rate to businesses for R&D (rank: 7)
- Provides patent exceptions for research purposes
- Revokes unused patents

Weaknesses
- Allows patents on plant and animal varieties
- Allows patents on software innovations
- Pushes to extend intellectual property rights in bilateral trade treaties (“TRIPS Plus” measures) that restrict the flow of innovations to developing countries
- Imposes strict limitations on anti-circumvention technologies that can defeat encryption of copyrighted digital materials

For More
Visit cgdev.org/cdi for the complete 2013 edition of the Commitment to Development Index. There, you can explore the numbers with our interactive graphing tool, view additional publications and background papers, and dive deeper into the CDI methodology by downloading our data and code.
The above table lists ranks for each of the 27 CDI countries across seven policy areas. The final column shows the change in each country’s overall rank since 2012 (using 2013 methodology).

### About the CDI

The Commitment to Development Index has been compiled each year since 2003 by the Center for Global Development (CGD), an independent think tank that works to reduce global poverty and inequality through rigorous research and active engagement with the policy community. CGD Europe director and senior fellow Owen Barder directs the Index, building on the previous work of CGD former senior fellow David Roodman. Petra Krylova is the CDI coordinator. Collaborators have included William R. Cline on trade; Theodore H. Moran and Petr Janský on finance; Jeanne Batalova, Kimberly A. Hamilton, and Elizabeth Grieco on migration; Amy Cassara and Daniel Prager on environment; Michael E. O’Hanlon, Adriana Lins de Albuquerque, Mark Stoker, and Jason Alderwick on security; and Keith Maskus and Walter Park on technology. The Index is supported by the CDI Consortium.

---

### Committee to Development Rankings, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Aid</th>
<th>Trade</th>
<th>Finance</th>
<th>Migration</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Security</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Change 2012-2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

= top third  = middle third  = last third

The above table lists ranks for each of the 27 CDI countries across seven policy areas. The final column shows the change in each country’s overall rank since 2012 (using 2013 methodology).